

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

APR 27 2006

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application No.: 09/125,700 Confirmation No.: 8084
Applicant(s): Fuhrmann et al.
Filed: 10/23/1998
Art Unit: 2642
Examiner: Hong, Harry S.
Title: Radiotelephone
Attorney Docket No.: 873.0185,U1(US); NC13479US
Customer No.: 29,683

Commissioner For Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Response To Office Action

Sir:

This is in response to the Office Action mailed 02/10/2006 in regard to the above-identified patent application.

In regard to section 2 of the office action, Fig. 6 and its description have not been added to the application. The examiner refused entry of Fig. 6 and its description in the office actions mailed 04/12/2002 and 12/13/2002. In both of those office actions the examiner stated that Fig. 6 and its description had not been entered. Therefore, the examiner is requested to withdraw his objection to the specification noted in section 2 of the office action. Fig. 6 and its description are not in the application. If the new examiner is now stating that the prior examiner was wrong to refuse entry of Fig. 6 and its description, and that they are in the application, the examiner is requested to explicitly state this. Applicants' attorney will then either merely cancel